

IMPORTANT: <u>Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application"</u> for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: CITY OF CINCINNATI CODE# 061- 15000					
DISTRICT NUMBER: 2	_COUNTY: <u>H</u>	AMILTON	DATE 10 / 1 / 93		
CONTACT: DOUG PERRY (THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST PROJECT NAME: NORTH	THE INDIVIDUAL WHO T ANSWER OR COORDS	NATE THE RESPONSE TO (
SUBDIVISION TYPE (Check Only 1) 1. County 2. City3. Township4. Village5. Water/Sanitary District (Section 6119 O.R.C.)		PE REQUESTED S 661.98 S s stance \$	PROJECT TYPE (Check Largest Component) X 1. Road 2. Bridge/Culvert 3. Water Supply 4. Wastewater		
TOTAL PROJECT COST:\$ 799	9,492	FUNDIN	IG REQUESTED:\$ 661,980		
DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION To be completed by the District Committee ONLY					
GRANT: \$ 661,980. LOAN: \$	00	LOAN ASSISTAN %TERM:	NCE: \$yrs. (Attach Loan Supplement)		
(Check Only 1) X State Capical Improvement Pro Local Transportation Improver Small Government Program			SET-ASIDE \$_799,492.00 \$		
FOR OPWC USE ONLY					
PROJECT NUMBER: C/C Local Participation % OPWC Participation % Project Release Date:/ OPWC Approval:			NDING:\$ e:years		

I

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar)	S:			Account
a.)	Project Engineering Costs: 1. Preliminary Engineering 2. Final Design 3. Other Engineer Services * Supervision \$ Miscellaneous \$	\$ \$ \$.00 .00 .00 .00	\$ 	\$
b.)	Acquisition Expenses: 1. Land 2. Right-of-Way	\$ \$.00		
c.) d.) e.) f.)	Construction Costs: Equipment Purchased Directly: Other Direct Expenses: Contingencies:	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	695,210.00 .00 .00 104,282.00	799,492	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$	799,492.00		
1.2	PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOU. (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)	RC	ES:		
a.) b.) c.) d.)	Local In-Kind Contributions Local Public Revenues Local Private Revenues Other Public Revenues 1. ODOT PID# \$ 2. EPA/OWDA 3. OTHER	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$.00 137,512.00 .00 .00 .00		%
SUB 7	TOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:			\$ 137,512.00	18%
e.)	OPWC Funds 1. Grant 2. Loan 3. Loan Assistance	\$ \$ \$	661,980.00 .00 .00		
SUB 7	TOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:			\$ 661,980.00	82%
f.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCE	ES	•	\$ 799,492.00	<u>100%</u>

^{*}Other Engineer's Services must be outlined in detail on the required certified engineer's estimate.

1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a summary from the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 listing <u>all local share funds</u> budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: North Bend Road Improvement

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections a through d):

a: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

North Bend Rd. from Millcreek to the Railroad (see attached map)

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45216

b: PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Widen existing pavement to include one through lane in each direction, a left turn lane at Dillward and a two way center left turn lane. Install new curb and gutter, new pipe and storm drainage system, new sidewalk and driveways.

c: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS:

Existing roadway is uncurbed with isolated ditches and inlets, is 20 feet wide and 1450 feet in length. Proposed roadway will be 36 foot wide.

d: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include both current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallon per household.

Attach current rate ordinance.

ADT = 9500

No change in service capacity. The improvement will upgrade street to current design standards by providing standard lane widths, proper storm drainage facilities and left turn lanes.

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 30 Years.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature certifying the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOT	AL PO	RTION OF PROJEC	CT RE	PAIR/REP	LACEME	NT	\$ <u>735,533</u>	92%
State Funds Requested for Repair and Replacement \$_661,980 90 %							<u>90</u> %	
		RTION OF PROJECT			SION	\$	\$ <u>63,959</u>	<u>8</u> %
4.0	PRC	JECT SCHEDU	LE:*					
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Engineering/Design Bid Advertisement Construction:			3 / 15 / 9	erway	6 / 15 /	D DATE / 1/94 94 // 31 / 95
dates m	iust be ap	et project schedule may rest oproved in writing by the C agreement approval/release	ommissio	on once the Pr	oject Agreem	ent has b	een executed. I	ication of Dates should
5.0	APP	LICANT INFOR	MAT	ION:				
5.1	CHIE OFFIC TITLI STRE CITY PHON FAX	E ET /ZIP	City Room 801 F	F. Shirey Manager 152, City Plum Street 1552 1552 1552	45202	-1		
5.2	CHIE OFFIC TITLI STRE CITY PHON FAX	E ET /ZIP	Finan Room 801 F	A. Dawso ce Director 250, City lum Street mati. Ohio)352	Hall 45202 373	31		
5.3	PROJUTITLE STREET CITY PHONE	ET /ZIP	Room 801 P Cincii (513	ala ipal Construct 415, City lum Street mati. Ohio)352)352	Hall 45202	.3		

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Check each section below, confirming that all required information is included in this application.
A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and execute contracts. (Attach)
A summary from the applicant's Chief Financial Officer listing all local share funds budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available. (Attach)
A registered professional engineer's estimate of projects useful life and cost estimate, as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . (Attach)
MA copy of the cooperation agreement(s) if this project involves more than one subdivision or district. (Attach)
B: Report/Update Filed with the Commission within the last twelve months.
N/A Floodplain Management Permit: Required if project is in 100 year floodplain. See Instructions.
X Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project.
7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:
The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.
IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.
Frank A. Dawson, Acting City Manager
Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)
mm
Signature/Date Signed

City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

John Hamner Director

Prem Garg, P.E. Acting City Engineer

October 1, 1993

Subject: North Bend Road Improvement

Millcreek Bridge to Railroad

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street improvement project is at least thirty (30) years.

PREM K. GARG 39840 39840


(seal)

City Engineer

City of Cincinnati

NORTH BEND RD ESTIMATE

ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES		EST. UNIT	COST
103.05	ĩ.s.	CONTRACT BOND		7875.00
SPECIAL	50 L.F.		10.00	500.00
201	L.S.	CLEARING & GRUBBING		
202	2 EA.	INLETS REMOVED	190.00	380.00
202	1 EA	INLETS REMOVED MANHOLES REMOVED	150.00	150.00
202	2500 S.Y.	PAVEMENT REMOVED	17.00	42500.00
203	6100 C.Y.	EXCAVATION	20.00	122000.0
203	1000 C.Y.	PAVEMENT REMOVED EXCAVATION EMBANKMENT PROOF ROLLING	25.00	25000.00
203	4 HRS	PROOF ROLLING	85.00	340.00
203	5700 S.Y.	SUBGRADE COMPACTION	1.10	6270.00
203		SEAL & ABANDONED EX. 24"		1500.00
204	50 C.Y.	SPECIAL EXCAVATION	35.00	1750.00
205	10 TONS	SPECIAL EXCAVATION SPECIAL FILL MATERIAL	12.00	120.00
207	745 EA.	STRAW & HAY BALES	4.00	2980.00
310		6" SUBBASE, TYPE II	21.00	19950.00
	5700 S.Y.		35.00	199500.00
451	100 S.Y.			3500.00
602				
602	10 C.Y.	BRICK MASONRY CONC. MASONRY	200.00	
603	410 L.F.		50.00	20500.00
	7 EA.	MANHOLE TYPE"P"	1950 00	13650.00
604	3 EA.	MANHOLE RECONST. TO GRADE		2175.00
		DGI MANHOLE	2500.00	2500.00
604		VALVE CHAMBER ADJ. TO GRADE		160.00
604	19 EA	COMBINATION INLETS	1850.00	35150.00
	2545 T.F.	COMBINATION INLETS 6" UNDERDRAIN	20.00	50900.00
608	2 EA.		80.00	160.00
608	2 EA.	HANDICAP RAMP TYPE 2	115 00	230.00
608		HANDICAP RAMP TYPE 2 CONCRETE WALK,5"	4 00	50000.00
608		CONCRETE CURB TYPE P-1		22500.00
614	L.S.			
619	L.S.	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC FIELD OFFICE	2000.00	2000.00
624	L.S.	MOBILIZATION	5000.00	
627		CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	4.00	9600.00
659		SEEDING AND MULCHING	1.00	3000.00
1112		REMOVE FIRE HYDRANT	1000.00	2000.00
1114		REMOVE FIRE HIDRANT		6000.00
1125		RESET EX. VAVLE BOX W/O ADJ.		1870.00
		MODEL HE. VIIVEE DOE 11/O ADO.	210.00	10,0.00
		TOTAL COST	. 1	\$695210
		15% CONTINGENCIES		\$799492
		TO-0 COMITINGUIACTEQ	•	4177436



Prem K. Garg, P.E. City Engineer

City of Cincinnati



Department of Finance

Room 250, Ciry Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

J. L. Andreyko

October 1, 1993

Laurence Bicking, Director Ohio Public Works Commission 65 East State Street Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Status of Funds for Local Share of 1994 SCIP/LTIP Program

Dear Mr. Bicking:


The local matching share for the 1994 SCIP/LTIP Projects (Round 8 Funding) is recommended by the City Manager for funding in the City's 1994 Capital Improvement Program. The funds are coming from Street Improvement Bonds which are scheduled for sale in the early part of 1994.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

J. L. Andreyko

Acting Director of Finance



COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CINCINNATI

STATE OF OHIO

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL

of the Council thereof. Ohio, kept under authority and by the direction journals of the City of Cincinnati, State of is correctly copied from the books, papers and I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript

in the City of Cincinnati in session on ORDINANCE 0421-1993 passed by council

November 24, 1993 entitled:

edministration of said grants. agreements necessary for the receipt and amount of \$9,163,000, and to execute any Public Works Commission, in the approximate funding grants from the State of Ohio, Ohio rehabiitaiton and bridge replacement project rehabilitation, street improvement, bridge Manager to apply for and accept street of Public Works, authorizing the City ORDINANCE submitted by John Hamner, Director

IN LEZLIWONA MHEKEOŁ I PSAG

necemper

nereunto set my name and attixed

the seal of the Clerk of Councils


Yat day of Office this

Hundred and Winety Three,

Clerk of Council

SANDY L. SHERMAN, CMC

TU FUE Year Wineteen



itennianid do ytid

427

.e.e.t .uk amenicao nk

AUTHORINING the City Manager to apply for and accept street rehabilitation, street improvement, bridge rehabilitation and bridge replacement project funding grants from the State of Ohio, ohio Public Works Commission, in the approximate amount of \$9,163,000, and to execute any agreements necessary for the receipt and administration of said grants.

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and Local Transportation Improvement Program provide for infrastructure funding; and

WHEREAS, the District 2 Integrating Committee is accepting applications for projects within Hamilton County, the State of Ohio; and

WHEREAS, the City of Cincinnati has the required \$4,199,000 in matching funds for 1994, for fifteen (15) street rehabilitation projects; namely Anderson Ferry Road, Crawford Road, Dalton Street, Daly Road, West Eighth Street, Elberon Avenue, Freeman Avenue, Gest Street, Linn Street, Madison Road, Mehring Way, Pete Rose Way, Plainville Road and Reading Road; and five (5) street improvement projects; namely North Crescent Avenue, North Bend Road, Vine Street at Forest/Woolper Intersection, Woodford Road and Werk Road; and two (2) bridge replacement projects; namely Dreman Avenue over West Fork Channel and North Bend Road over Millcreek; and one (1) bridge replacement projects; namely Dreman Avenue over West Fork Channel and North Bend Road over Millcreek; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Cincinnati, State

:oidO lo

Section 1. That the City Manager is hereby authorized to execute and file applications, on behalf of the City of Cincinnati, with the Ohio Public Works Commission through the Hamilton County District 2 Integrating Committee, for grants, in the approximate amount of \$9,163,000 for funding fifteen (15) street rehabilitation

Clerk of Council.

19 3 WAS PUBLISHED IN THE CITY DULLETIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CHARTER ON 12-7-93

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ORDINANCE NO. *

earliest period allowed by law.

This Ordinance shall take effect from and at the Section 3.

State for receipt and administration of the above grants.

execute such agreements and other documents as are required by the

That the City Manger is hereby authorized to Section 2.

Works Commission.

Millcreek; and to accept such grants if awarded by the Ohio Public

Street Веектап nsweT Λ rehabilitation project; OVer

West Fork Channel and Morth Bend Road over Millcreek; and one (1)

and two (2) bridge replacement projects; namely Dreman Avenue over

Street at Forest/Woolper Intersection, Woodford Road and Werk Road;


projects; namely Worth Crescent Avenue, Worth Bend Road, Vine


Plainville Road and Reading Road; and five (5) street improvement

Street, Linn Street, Madison Road, Mehring Way, Pete Rose Way,

Daly Road, West Eighth Street, Elberon Avenue, Freeman Avenue, Gest

projects; namely Anderson Ferry Road, Crawford Road, Dalton Street,





ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 1994 (July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items may be required by the Support Staff if information does not appear to be accurate.

1)	What is the condition of the be replaced, repaired, or exp a copy of the current State f	panded? For bridg			E
C	Closed	Poor X			
F	Fair	Good			
prese surfa subst sight capac	a brief statement of the nate	equate load capa of lanes; structu as berm width, catures, or inade eximate age of the	city ıral c grades equate	(brid condit , cur ser	dge); cion; cves, cvice
	Lack of positive drainage causing in A project for the replacement of the initiated by ODOT in May, 1994. It costs and work, we would like this bridge replacement. There is inadelanes to handle the high truck trait this area. This project will add a problem.	ne deteriorated bride n order to avoid dupl project to coincide equate lane width and Efic that serves busi	e will licatio with t l numbe inesses	be n of he r of in	
	If State Issue 2 funds are awmonths) after receiving the (tentatively set for July 1, 2 contract? The Support Staff of previous projects to he particular jurisdiction's antiparticular set.	e Project Agreem 1994) would the pa will be reviewing elp judge the	ent f roject statu accura	from be us rep acy o	OPWC inder orts
_	2 months (Circle on	ie)			
A	are preliminary plans or engin	eering completed?	? <u>(</u> Ye	s 1	10
A	re detailed construction plan	s completed?	Yes	No	
A	re all right-of-way and easem	ents acquired?	Yes	No	N/A
A	re all utility coordinations	completed?	Yes	No	N/A
G i	ive an estimate of time, in w tem above not yet completed.	veeks or months, t		plete	any

and welfare of the service area? (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data.
Will eliminate localized flooding. Current flooding effects
abutting businesses and causes icing conditions for traffic. Left
turn lane and two-way left turn lane will improve traffic flow and
air quality.
4) What type of funds are to be utilized for the local share for this project?
Federal ODOT Local X
MRF OWDA CD
Other
Note: If MRF funds are being used for the local share, the MRF application must have been filed by August 1, 1993 for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office.
The minimum amount of matching funds for grant projects (local share) must be at least 10% of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST. What percentage of matching funds are being committed to this project?
<u>10 </u>
5) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits.) A copy of the legislation must be submitted with the application. THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE VALID.
Complete Ban Partial Ban No Ban X
Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?
Yes No

3) How will the proposed project impact the general health, safety

documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4. 7) Has the jurisdiction developed a Five Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.) Yes X No	6)	What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?
Daily Traffic by 1.20. For public transit, submidocumentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4. 7) Has the jurisdiction developed a Five Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.) YesX No		9467 ADT, 11,400 users
Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.) Yes X No 8) Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. This street is a thoroughfare with high truck volumes which		Daily Traffic by 1.20. For public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service
8) Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. This street is a thoroughfare with high truck volumes which	7)	Has the jurisdiction developed a Five Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.)
the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. This street is a thoroughfare with high truck volumes which		Yes X No
the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. This street is a thoroughfare with high truck volumes which		
	8)	Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.
use this road as a connector to I-75.		This street is a thoroughfare with high truck volumes which
		use this road as a connector to I-75.

STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM ROUND NO. 8

PROGAM YEAR 1994 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA - JULY 1, 1994 TO JUNE 30, 1995

ADOPTED BY THE DISTRICT 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

JULY 16, 1993 JURISDICTION/AGENCY: TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS PROJECT: NO. POINTS 1) If SCIP/LTIP Funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.) 10 Points - Will be under contract by December 31, 1994 5 Points - Will be under contract by March 30, 1995 O Points - Will not be under contract by March 30, 1995 2) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating. 20 Points - Poor Condition 16 Points -12 Points - Fair to Poor Condition 8 Points -4 Points - Fair Condition

NOTE: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 3) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?

 - 8 Points Moderate to significant effect
 - 6 Points Moderate effect (e.g., widen exist. lanes)
 - 4 Points Moderate to little effect

6

- 4) How important is the project to HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE of the public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?
 - 10 Points Highly significant importance, with substantial impact on all 3 factors
 - 8 Points Considerably significant importance, with substantial impact on 2 factors OR noticeable impact on all 3 factors
 - 6 Points Moderate importance, with substantial impact on 1 factor or noticeable impact on 2 factors
 - 4 Points Minimal importance, with noticeable impact on l factor
 - 2 Points No measurable impact

6

- 5) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?
 - 10 Points Poor
 - 8 Points -
 - 6 Points Fair
 - 4 Points -
 - 2 Points Excellent
- 6) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Loan and Credit Enhancement projects automatically receive 5 points, and no match is required. All grant funded projects require a minimum of 10% matching funds.
 - 5 Points 50% or more
 - 4 Points 40% to 49.99%
 - 3 Points 30% to 39.99%
 - 2 Points 20% to 29.99%
 - 1 Point 10% to 19.99%



- infrastructure? POINTS MAY ONLY BE AWARDED IF THE END RESULT OF THE PROJECT WILL CAUSE THE BAN TO BE LIFTED.
- 5 Points Complete or significant ban
- 3 Points Partial or moderate ban
- 0 Points No ban of any kind
- 8) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.
 - 5 Points 10,000 or more
 - 4 Points 7,500 to 9,999
 - 3 Points 5,000 to 7,499
 - 2 Points 2,500 to 4,999
 - 1 Point 2,499 and under
- 9) Does the infrastructure have REGIONAL impact? Consider origins and destinations of traffic, functional classification, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc.
 - 5 Points Major impact (e.g., major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes)
 - 4 Points -
 - 3 Points Moderate impact (e.g., principal thoroughfares, Federal - Aid Urban routes)
 - 2 Points -
- 10) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or a dedicated tax for infrastructure?
 - 2 Points Two of the above
 - 1 Point One of the above
 - 0 Points None of the above

ADDENDUM TO THE RATING SYSTEM DEFINITIONS

CRITERION 2 - CONDITION

Poor - Condition is dangerous, unsafe or unusable

Fair to Poor - Condition is inadequate or substandard

Fair - Condition is average, not good or poor

CRITERION 5 - ECONOMIC HEALTH

The following factors are used to determine economic health:

- 1) Median per capita income
- 2) Per capita assessed valuation of the total community real estate and personal property
- 3) Poverty indicators
- 4) Effective tax rates
- 5) Total corporate debt as a percentage of assessed valuation
- 6) Municipal revenues and expenditures per capita

CRITERION 9 - REGIONAL IMPACT

Major impact - Primary water or sewer main serving an entire system

Moderate impact - Waterline or storm sewer serving only part of a system

Minimal impact - Individual waterline or storm sewer not part of a system